1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 3 4 YOLANDA TREJO, Case No. 2:15-cv-01792-APG-NJK 5 Plaintiff, ORDER ON REPORT AND 6 RECOMMENDATION v. 7 CAROLYN W. COLVIN, (ECF Nos. 16, 22) 8 Defendant. 9 On October 13, 2016, Magistrate Judge Koppe entered a report and recommendation that I 10 (1) deny plaintiff Yolanda Trejo's motion to remand and (2) grant defendant Carolyn Colvin's 11 motion to affirm. Trejo did not file an objection. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo 12 review of the report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to 13 14 "make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings to 15 which objection is made"); United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) ("the district judge must review the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations 16 17 de novo if objection is made, but not otherwise" (emphasis in original)). 18 I nevertheless find that Judge Koppe sets forth the proper legal analysis and factual basis for the decision. 19 20 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Judge Koppe's report and recommendation (ECF 21 No. 22) is accepted, plaintiff Yolanda Trejo's motion to remand (ECF No. 16) is DENIED, and 22 defendant Carolyn Colvin's motion to affirm (ECF No. 21) is GRANTED. 23 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the clerk of court shall enter judgment in favor of 24 defendant Carolyn W. Colvin and against plaintiff Yolanda Trejo. DATED this 9th day of November, 2016. 25 26 27 ANDREW P. GORDON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

28